

1636 West North Temple • Salt Lake City, UT 84116 • 801-533-6071

* M E M O R A N D U M *

TO:

File

FROM:

Richard B. Hall, P. E., Directing Engineer

SUBJECT: Field Examination of the Burnt Fork & Beaver Creek Distribution Sys.

DATE:

September 4, 1984

A field Examination of the subject systems was undertaken on August 30, 1984, with the following in attendance:

Steve Wilkinson Blake Wahlen

Richard B. Hall Jerry L. Bronicel

The following items were observed and/or discussed, as follows for each system:

BURNT FORK:

The Burnt Fork System has adequate measuring devices and is generally operating satisfactorily. Several problems, which were observed, are as follows:

- 1) The U.S.G.S. station on the headwaters was inundated by sand and gravel during flood flows. As a result, the continuous recorder was removed. The comissioner gets the flow by a weight mounted on an elaborate horizontral arm. We should contact the U.S.G.S. to see what would be necessary to restore the station.
- 2) The splitter between the West and East Forks consists of moving boulders in the channel. After observing the problem, I suggested a flood and low flow channel consisting of a Gabion Weir in the flood channel and a Gabion control structure in the low flow channel with the splitter mounted on a concrete pier.

BEAVER CREEK:

This system has only two measuring devices and the only regulation the commissioner does is on Hoop Lake and a transbasin diversion to Burnt Fork. We need to reasearch this and determine why a distribution system exists. If there is a need, we need to get some gates and flumes installed.

GENERAL:

There is some confusion as to our relationship with Wyoming on these two systems. This needs to be researched.